For Distribution to CPs



The Institute of Professional Investigators
(A Company Limited by Guardines Registered in England No 1386245)
Secretariat & Registered Office
Claremont House, 78-72 Alma Road, Windsor SL4 3EZ
Telephone 9379 3398672 Par 1870 18867;
Eddoi: administrative and the second particular of

91.4.31 Engineerings No. 498 0031 25

Additional Statement to the Leveson Inquiry – David Palmer FIPI F.Inst.L.Ex

With reference to the Inquiry's request that I enquire into the membership of David Webb in the Institute of Professional Investigators, I attach the application paperwork available to me. The Inquiry should be aware that after initial admission, little if any further paperwork is kept unless the participant obtains Fellowship.

The inquiry will see that he applied to join the Institute in an application dated 1^{st} November 2004, and following our application process was awarded membership in 2005. His references were provided by retired senior police officers, and the comments they made clearly speak for themselves.

The CCJ checks, etc. would have been undertaken by	who himself was a respected
investigator and former employee with	His signature is lacking because these
papers were kept by pf our Admissions Comm	nittee after his own assessment. Our own
papers appear to have been destroyed in erroneous compliance with the Data Protection Act, and	
we have since revised our procedures to retain material until a member leaves the Institute.)	
Having read Mr Webb's own testimony, it is my understanding that he elected not to renew his membership following his arrest in 2007 and in compliance with the News of the World's requirement he relinquished his 'licence'. Certainly, his name does not appear in IPI member lists after 2007 and in the absence of any other information this suggests he merely failed to renew his subscription. There are no complaints in his respect, to my knowledge.	
I do not know why Mr Webb referred to his membership certificate as a licence – it has never been suggested that a certificate is anything other than a certificate of participation, and it should be the case that a certificate should be returned on resignation from the Institute. Furthermore, given the nature of the reported consultations on licensing, how he could come to that assumption is unclear.	
I make this further statement in the belief that its contents are true.	

David Palmer FIPI F.Inst.L.Ex

Principal, The Institute of Professional Investigators